

of the College of Agriculture and Life Sciences



Competitive Agricultural Systems in a Global Economy Cotton IPM: Reducing Insecticide Use

Issue

Insecticide applications in cotton typically account for about half of all insecticide use in the United States. New materials on the market are now enabling cotton growers to reduce their spray applications while maintaining competitive yields. These technologies also help growers implement more ecologically-based IPM programs and become less dependent on broadly toxic insecticides.

What has been done?

An integrated pest management program in Arizona implemented two new tools in 1996 and continued their use through 2001: insect growth regulators (IGRs, effective against whiteflies) and transgenic cotton (containing Bt effective against pink bollworms). Annual cotton acreage in Arizona is usually over 250,000 acres. The University of Arizona College of Agriculture and Life Sciences collaborated with growers, the USDA, the Arizona Department of Agriculture, the Arizona Cotton Growers' Association, Cotton Incorporated, industry and others. Both of these tools are highly effective against pests, but safe

to humans and the environment. Based on insect hormones, growth regulators disrupt the growth and development of insects. Transgenic cotton is genetically engineered to carry its own biological insecticide, targeting lepidopterous pests, within the plant tissues.

Impact

Nearly 100 percent of the cotton acreage in Arizona was sprayed multiple times for pink bollworm and silverleaf whitefly in 1995; however, from 1999 through 2001 the majority of acres were never sprayed even once for these two pests. Comparing averages for 1990-1995, a period before the IPM education and technologies were introduced in cotton production, with averages for 1996-2001, the following reductions in spray applications were realized:

For silverleaf whitefly, the average number of chemical sprays dropped from 3.58 sprays per season in 1990-1995 to 1.16 in 1996-2001, representing a 68 percent reduction and over \$62.4 million in cumulative control savings. For pink bollworm, the average

number of sprays per season decreased from 2.72 sprays per season to .72 sprays, a 73 percent reduction, representing \$34.2 million in cumulative savings. For Lygus bug, sprays actually increased very slightly, from 1.57 sprays to 1.59 sprays, a 2 percent increase, representing a \$24.6 million increase in cumulative control costs. However, the total for all three pests, and other minor pests, reduced from 9.03 sprays to 3.92 sprays, or a 57.9 percent reduction overall and a cumulative control savings of 87.6 dollars over the six-year period of 1996-2001. Annual cotton acreage in Arizona is usually over 250,000 acres.

Along with resistance management, these IPM efforts reduced insecticide use, conserved biological control agents, and enhanced sustainability and profitability. The availability of these selected technologies, which are harmless to predaceous insects, has provided growers the opportunity to employ IPM practices that enhance the population levels of beneficial insects in the field.

Funding

Hatch Act
Smith-Lever 3(b) & (c)
Special Research Grants
Smith-Lever 3(d) (e.g., EFNEP, CYFAR)
Other CSREES (Western Region IPM; National Pesticide Impact Assessment Program, Western Region)
Commodity (Cotton Incorporated)
State (Arizona Cotton Growers Association)
Other (Industry: Agrichemical)

Contact

Peter Ellsworth, IPM specialist Maricopa Agricultural Center 37860 W. Smith-Enke Road Maricopa, AZ 85239-3010 Telephone: (520) 568-2273 FAX: (520) 568-2556 peterell@aq.arizona.edu