Over 20 years, the Arizona cotton industry has undergone an IPM revolution. We worked with farmers, pest control advisors, technology providers, commodity groups, and other organizations to develop integrated pest management strategies. We created an IPM program that has helped save farmers $388 million, increased the use of selective insecticides and the use of conservation biological control, and kept 1.6 million lbs of insecticide active ingredient from entering the environment. The last 6 years have shown the lowest insecticide use in cotton on record (13 years), averaging just 1.5 sprays season-long.

We engage and partner with agricultural stakeholders through annual workshops to develop data on crop pest losses, control costs, and pesticide use. The workshops encourage collaboration, feedback, and development of collaborative relationships, and provide high quality contemporaneous data on pest management practices and their economic impacts. At the workshops, participants complete a guided survey. In addition to quantitative data, stakeholders identify the specific insect pests and the resulting data provide unique insights into the decision-making experience of each pest manager. Our dialog with stakeholders helps us identify emerging pest issues and changes needed to support growers.

Data collected for each pest include:
- Infested acres
- Treated acres
- Number of insecticide applications
- Cost of each insecticide application
- Yield reduction due to each pest
- Target pests for each insecticide

The availability of accurate, real-world data on pest management practices, crop pest losses, and associated costs is critical to assessing the adoption and impact of IPM programs. These data are useful in documenting adoption of IPM practices, economic savings to growers, and larger-scale changes in pest management practices. These insights help guide existing and new programs in IPM research, implementation, and outreach. The ability to measure impacts and industry practices is useful for generating interest in and sustaining support for our IPM programs, which in turn have produced most economic benefits for growers.

Through these surveys, we have found that our IPM programs, in concert with related programs and technologies, have made a significant impact on the Arizona cotton industry. With stakeholder partners, we helped discover, adapt, and develop selective pest management technologies and the knowledge to use them wisely as part of a comprehensive IPM strategy, including key pest thresholds, sampling protocols, conservation biological control, resistance avoidance, and area-wide management.

- PBW was our primary pest for 50 years and has now been relegated to a nearly eradicated species.
- Invasive whiteflies destabilized our multi-crop production systems, but are now prevented from reaching outbreak status via well-informed IPM decision-making and maximal use of ecosystem services.
- Lygus, the indigenous mirid & no. 1 yield-limiting pest of cotton, is now managed through properly timed, limited use of a fully selective feeding inhibitor.
- The revolution in cotton IPM began in 1996 with the introduction of whitefly specific IGRs, Bt cotton, & new opportunities to implement conservation biological control & other natural controls (new IPM plan). Growers adopted progressive resistance management plans that included landmark agreements to share & limit use of critical chemistry across multiple crop systems.
- In 2006–2011, the need to spray declined even further over this period averaging just 1.5 sprays for all arthropod pests, largely because of the initiation and progress of PBW eradication, the introduction of a novel & selective Lygus feeding inhibitor (Flonicamid), and an IPM plan that continues to emphasize conservation biological control and properly timed & limited use of selective technologies.
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An extensive 22-yr pesticide use database and systematic surveys of stakeholders make it possible to assess the impacts our IPM programs and technologies have on the Arizona cotton industry.

- Arizona leads the world in cotton yield per acre (>1500 lbs), nearly twice the U.S. average.
- Growers used to spray 100% of their acreage multiple times for pink bollworm, Lygus bug, & whitefly. Now, up to 29.3% of cotton acreage was never sprayed for insects, the highest level ever measured (2010).
- Cotton insecticide use over the last 6 years is at all-time lows, reducing costs to all-time lows.
- Reductions in control costs & yield losses to arthropods have saved cotton growers more than $388,000,000 since 1996.
- Growers apply less than 12 oz of insecticide active ingredient per acre per season (less than 1995), a reduction of more than 77% in recent years compared to 1995.
- In the last 6 yrs, growers sprayed on average 1.5 times per season, reducing the insecticide load on the environment by ca. 1.6 million lbs annually (down 90%) compared to 1995 (see Poster #P056 for details).
- By following IPM plans that emphasize well-timed, limited use of selective technologies & conservation biological control, growers have saved on average ca. $25,000,000 annually compared to pre-1996.
- There has been a 92% reduction in organophosphate use, comparing the last 6 yrs to an all-time high in 1995; a 97% reduction in pyrethroids; 82% reduction in endosulfan; 97% reduction in carbamates; with an 85% reduction overall in cotton insecticide use.
- By 2011, 76% of all cotton insecticides used were either (50%) or partially (21%) selective, meaning they are safer to use and safer for the natural enemies in the cotton ecosystem.
- For Lygus control, cotton growers choosing reduced-risk insecticides over standard broad-spectrum chemistry increased from 0% in 2005, 52% in 2007, and 75% in 2008 to 81% in 2009.
- For the 1st time in over 40 yrs, growers did not apply a single spray against PBW (2008–2011).

We owe our success to collaboration with growers, USDA, Arizona Department of Agriculture (ADA), Arizona Cotton Growers Association, Cotton Incorporated, Arizona Cotton Research & Protection Council, industry groups, and the infrastructural support of the Federal Extension IPM Program. The contributions of these groups helped equip the Arizona cotton industry with the tools they needed for a successful IPM program.

The user surveys are made possible through the Crop Pest Losses Impact Assessment Group, funded by USDA, and the Western IPM Center since 2004. Many resources have contributed to the development, implementation, and measurement of our IPM programs, including grants from USDA Western Region IPM (2005), USDA Risk Avoidance and Mitigation Program (2006), USDA Pest Information Workshop Program (2009), ADA Reduced Risk Pest Management (2011), ADA Specialty Crop Block Grant Program (2009–12), and ongoing Federal Extension IPM funding. We gratefully acknowledge these supporters.